## ABSTRACT ACCEPTED FOR POSTER PRESENTATION AT CLINICAL NUTRITION WEEK 2013 PHOENIX USA

CONTROL ID: 1520393

TITLE: A pilot survey of compliance to Homecare standards by HPN patients in Australasia

AUTHORS: Rachel Healey<sup>1</sup>, Karen Winterbourn<sup>1</sup>, Gil Hardy<sup>1</sup>

**CREDENTIALS:** Rachel Healey: HPN Consumer. Karen Winterbourn: HPN Consumer. Gil Hardy: Professor of Clinical Nutrition

INSTITUTIONS (ALL): 1. Parenteral Nutrition Down Under, Hornsby, NSW, Australia.

**CURRENT TOPIC:** Parenteral Nutrition Therapy

PRESENTATION TYPE: Education, Quality Control & Improvement

Presentation Preference: EITHER ORAL or POSTER presentation

**ABSTRACT BODY: Introduction**: Parenteral Nutrition - Down Under (PN-DU) is a support group for adults and parents/carers of children on Home Parenteral Nutrition (HPN). In June 2012, PN-DU conducted an on-line survey of its members' opinions on the levels of compliance, by Australasian HPN centres, with the Australasian Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (AuSPEN) Clinical Practice Guidelines for HPN Patients in Australia and New Zealand (Nutrition 2008) (the guidelines.

**Description**: The survey was devised and conducted by patients for patients and included questions with Yes/No/Don't Know answers, relating to the 10 aspects of HPN addressed by the guidelines. The aim was to ascertain consumer perspectives of their standard of homecare, to complement any future survey of clinicians involved in HPN management by AuSPEN, before the next revision of the guidelines. Participation was voluntary, anonymous and confidential.

Results: A total of 15 consumers/carers started the survey with 13 completing all questions. Just over half (53%) were adults dependent on HPN, the remainder being parents/carers of a child on HPN; all referred to as "patients". 10 patients have been HPN dependent for at least 5-10 years, 4 of these for >10 years. 71% reported their care involved a nutrition support team (NST) but only 1 team included a pharmacist. All patients reported being closely involved in the decision to go home on HPN, however, 21% of patients felt they did not have the risks and benefits of HPN explained to them, and 1 (7%) felt they did not have all treatment options explained nor the opportunity to ask questions. 29% reported that their HPN centre did not confirm any aspect of their home set-up prior to discharge. Practical training was given to all, but less than half reported receiving advice on high risk events (line blockage 36%, febrile episodes 43%). Notably only (43%) received written information to assist in expedited hospital triage. All HPN pumps were reported easy to operate and clean, but less than half of the respondents (46%) had been required to demonstrate competence, before discharge. Of concern is that only 23% have contact details for a 24/7 pump troubleshooting service. None reported being able to access an after-hours service. Discussion: Our pilot survey suggests overall most HPN centres comply with the guidelines, doing best at selecting/placing a central venous access device (CVAD) and training patients before going home. However, there is a need for more concerted efforts in relation to: training in the management of emergency situations and life-threatening complications associated with HPN and/or a CVAD, with provision of emergency repair kits; confirming patients have suitable home environments before discharge; providing written information, to facilitate rapid triage and treatment in the event of emergency hospitalisation: assuring patients that a multidisciplinary NST is coordinating their care: providing information on after-hours technical support for pumps; more involvement of pharmacists to advise about HPN and drug interactions. Conclusions: Although not statistically valid in terms of the total Australasian HPN patient group, these data nonetheless serve as a useful pilot snapshot. They highlight areas where a wider survey could provide more valuable comprehensive insights into HPN practices and care management that may need improvement. Options might include development of checklists to empower patients to advocate for the best possible care; what to expect before discharge, what sort of monitoring should occur and with what frequency. Parallel checklists for clinicians within HPN centres may be useful.